	D	T	E	2
Day	4	0	10	20

Tax year2023		BOR no	2023-050		DTE 2 Rev. 12/22
County_	CLARK	Date received _	4/18/4	029	
Complaint Against Use this form to file board of revis against market value should be file	ion complaints regarding as	of Real Prosessment issues wer all questions arm. Attach additionaint 🖂 Counter	other than the mand type or print a onal pages as nec or complaint	arket value of prop all information. Re	perty. Complaints
	Name	•	Street address, City, State, ZIP code		
1) Owner of property	Zuber Crossing,	LLC	7771 Concord Rd., Delaware, OH 43015		
Complainant if not owner	Northeastern Local S.D.	Bd. of Educ.	4435 Bosart Road, Springfield, OH 45503		d, OH 45503
3) Complainant's agent	Robert M. Morrow, Esc	<b>{</b> .	612 Park Street, 3rd Fl., Columbus, OH 432		nbus, OH 43215
<ol> <li>Telephone number of contact per</li> </ol>	son 614-573-3015				
5) Email address of complainant	bmorrow@parkstreetlg.c	om			
6) Complainant's relationship to pro	perty, if not owner Board	of Education			
If more t	han one parcel number is	included, see "I	Multiple Parcels'	on back	
7) Parcel number from tax bill	# Acres, if applicable	Addre	ess of property		FILED
3200200001000141	1.41		Bechtle Rd.	CLARK C	DUNTY AUDITOR
				GEV II II C	
				AP	R 18 2024
<ul> <li>☑ The classification of property of the denial of a CAUV application.</li> <li>☑ The valuation of property on the denial of the partial exemplements.</li> <li>☑ Determination of whether good of the denial of the partial exemplements.</li> <li>⑤ If the complaint is seeking a change complete this line.</li> </ul>	on filed under RC 5713.32 or the agricultural land tax list. use exists for land on the CA ause exists for the failure to file ption of a qualifying child can be in the value of the property	UV program to rer a CAUV renewal a re center under R	main idle under RC application pursuan C 323.16.	der RC 5713,35. 5 5713,30(A)(4). It to RC 5713,351.	
	Column A number Complainant's Opinion of Value (Full Market Value)		Column B Current Value Full Market Valu	Cha	Column C nge in Value
3200200001000141	655,140		655,140	-0	-
10) The requested change is justified No change in classification or val 2023-1049. See Exhibit A attached 11) If the complainant is a legislative complainant, R.C. 5715.19(A)(8)  The complainant has complied adoption of the resolution req	ue is justified. Any hearing ded hereto.  authority and the complaint requires this section to be complaint the requirements of R.4	is an original con completed. C. section 5715.1	nplaint with respect	ct to property not	owned by the
	e, this	Signature day of  Crystal McC  Notary Public, SI  My Commission Exp	Title (if a April Cutchen late of Ohio		2024

## EXHIBIT A

The Complaint in this action should be dismissed. The owner has filed similar complaints for tax years 2020, 2021, 2022, and now for 2023. The filings have involved two school districts. The Board of Revision has rejected the same argument every year since the 2020 tax year. The allegations in the 2023 tax year filing are identical to the multiple filings which the Board has already rejected on three different occasions.

The 2020 tax year filing remains pending at the Board of Tax Appeals despite the fact that a hearing took place in April of 2022. The filing made for the 2021 tax year was rejected by the Board of Revision and ultimately dismissed on jurisdiction grounds by the Board of Tax Appeals. The same type of filing was made for the 2022 tax year which the Board of Revision likewise rejected. An appeal is pending at the Board of Tax Appeals and at the Appellant's request, a stay has been issued since the exact same issue is already pending from the 2020 tax year.

In addition, this is the second filing within the three-year triennial period. Testimony presented during the prior cases indicates that the representative of the owner is marketing the property as a commercial property. For the same reasons as in 2020, 2021 and 2023 tax year filings, this Board should deny the relief sought by the property owner in this case. This Board has rejected the same argument on three prior occasions.

In the event this matter is scheduled for hearing, this case should be consolidated with BOR Case No. 2023-049 which involves the same property owner and the Clark-Shawnee Local School District Board of Education.